Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2

- b. Expedite review of investigative files at other Federal agencies in response to requests for special clearances and billet approvals;
 - o. Direct relationship with White House Security Office and U.S. Secret Service in connection with maintaining, controlling and recording the Courier and Staff Access List for White House and Executive Office entry.
- 6. Responsible for representing the Office of Security on the Applicant Review Panel and the Overseas Candidate Review Panel. 2423
 - 7. Responsible for managing the Agency drug seminar program for employees and dependents being assigned overseas.
 - 8. Responsible for the preparation of the "Clearance Division Report on Drug Usage Cases," a document outlining statistical information re drug usage cases processed by the Clearance Division.

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes overt clearance requests for staff applicants (clerical and professional).

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, A, (a), 1	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No No Of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	necessary? Yes No t is still necessary? Yes No ciently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
	\mathcal{H}
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	Ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTAPPROVED For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2

Processes overt clearance requests on staff applicants.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 2	COMPONENT: 05	S/DST/Clearance	Dásatat
EVALUATION:	GOTH ONLINI	S/PSI/Clearance <u>DATE</u> :	Division,
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity?	Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes	No	
Do you feel the activity is access			
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still neces iently or less	sary? Yes / costly? Yes_	NoNo
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	the activity sther Office? Y	hould be transf esNo	erred
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No I	y more efficier f so, which com	ntly? Less cos mponent and why	tly? ?
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in , what?	the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agence If so, how?	e/size or disco cy security? Y	ontinued without	:
Is there any activity not now being primportant than this one? Yes	oursued by OS to No If so, to	hat you feel is what?	more

IDENATOR FOR Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2
Processes overt clearance requests on consultants.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 3 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Closmon B:
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No No
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

IDENTAPPTORED 50 Geleage 2009/09/03PrGARDR83B00823R009709020034-2clearance requests on Contract Type Internal.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 4	COMPONENT: OS/PSI	C/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:		DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Da	te(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o		No
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessar	y? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?		
•		-80
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	y more efficientl f so, which compo	y? Less costly? nent and why?
Does the activity include anything watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in tho, what?	e light of
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?		
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS tha No If so, wh	t you feel is more at?

IDENTIAPPRAYED For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034-2 requests on military assignees.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 5	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	orce? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici If so, how?	cessary? Yes No is still necessary? Yes No ently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No If	w more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No If so,	estionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agence If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without by security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being p important than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION FOR Release 2000/09/03r CLA RDP83B00823R000700020024-21earance requests on military detailees.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 6	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Di	vision/ S&OB
EVALUATION:	DATE:	SGUD
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No	
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	necessary? Yes No Yes t is still necessary? Yes ciently or less costly? Yes	No No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transformed the office? Yes No	erred
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less cos If so, which component and why	tly? ?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued withou ency security? Yes No	t
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel i No If so, what?	s more

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/03 r6 Leas BB00823 R000700020004-2 clearance requests on career associates (internal).

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 7	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
	•
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes No f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still not Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of So, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
* *	
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

 $\label{thm:likelihood} \textbf{IDENTIFAPPROPED_FOUR PROPERTY 2000/09/93.CLA-RDF83R00823R0007000920034.21} earance \\ requests on civilian detailees.$

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 8	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
•	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) or	Force? Yes No No This activity?
· ·	
Do you feel the activity is still no Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	ecessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
X .	
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIAPPRAYED FOR Release 2000/09/03 r CLA RDP83B00823R000700020034-2 on independent contractors.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 9	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	his activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in the Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes No No this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still not Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient of If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes in No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is more NoIf so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/03 roclesses EDE83B00823R000700020034-2 on language instructors.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 10	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? YesNo f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scorsignificant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

TDEVET Annowed For	2elease 2000/09/03.:_CI/	_RDP83B00823B000	700020034-2		
IDENTI APPROPRED TOO	TO HEAL Adolology day of	s'se's creatance	requests	on-	
				,	
•		employees.		•	

25X1A

25X1A

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 11	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Divisio
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes / No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/03 Tolder DR83B00823R00070g020034 2mployees under the Reinvestigation Program.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 12	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/Clearance	
EVALUATION:		DATE:	SEOB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activit	y? Date(s)?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)			
*		/	-
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	t is still ne	cessary? Yes	NoNo
			-
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?			
	* *		
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more effi If so, which	ciently? Less c	ostly? hy?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable so, what?	in the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or d ency security	iscontinued with ? Yes No	out .
To those one optimite not and lain	w manage at large	OS that you fool	ic mama
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	No If	so, what?	TS MOTE

Assessed Fas Dalassa	AAAAAAAA AAA DDDAAC	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
i dent i apenarequio de legga	・シリスかかいろうしゅっということはいとなった	\$UUX.Z.\$RUUU/JJUU/UU.\$4-/	•
	the dolors of Latin Car oct	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	nnrowale .
	. V 1 1 1	sovere security a	pprovats.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 13	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R00070002003402vals. IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes operational approved.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 14	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
ACTIVITI CODE. 1, A, (a), I	DATE:
EVALUATION:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	this activity? Date(s)?
·	·
Is (are) the reference(s) still in the Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? YesNo f this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still no Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? YesNo
·	
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	siley security.
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 15	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? YesNo of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still mo (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it Can the activity be done more efficient for the so, how?	is still necessary: les V
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

· .	· .
•	
COMPONE	NT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 16 COMPONE	
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
EVALUATION.	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this act	ivity? Date(s)?
What is (are) our reference(3) for the	
	Yes No
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force?	ectivity?
Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this a	iccivity.
	a v
Do you feel the activity is still necessary	7? Yes NO Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still necessary Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still Can the activity be done more efficiently of	or less costly? Yes No
Can the activity be done more officially	
If so, how?	
·1·1···· for the act	tivity should be transferred
Do you feel that responsibility for the act to another OS component or to another Of	fice? Yes No
If so, where and why?	
If so, where and why.	
	efficiently? Less costly?
Can another component do the activity more More properly? Yes No If so,	which component and why?
More properly: les No	•
	mahla in the light of
Does the activity include anything question	?
Watergate? Yes No If so, what	
·	
	- discontinued without
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size significant effect on overall Agency sec	curity? Yes No
significant effect on overall rightly of	
If so, how?	
	vil. OC that you feel is more
Is there any activity not now being pursue important than this one? Yes No	If so what?
important than this one? Yes NO	

Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020034t2ernal approvals.

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Processes Contractions

IDENT Approved for Release 2000/09/03 Processes special inquiries.

A CONTINUE TO A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 17	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference (a) (of the material of the control of th
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
To () (1	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still	necessary? Yes No
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so how?	t ic ctill need of
If so, how?	clefffly or less costly? Yes No
·	
to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
·	
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of oo, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursuad by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?
	·

 $IDENT \textbf{APPROVED FOR Repease 2000/09/93}: \textbf{Chardes 800007000020034} \text{ ex.} \\ ks.$

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a); 18	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

I DENTI HAPPAGVEGN FOUR Release 12000/09/03 ro Glas RD 83 B00823 R000 70 00 20034-2 (external) approvals.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 19	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes Noof this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still r Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel in Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activi More properly? YesNo	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If s	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION Approved Fo	OF ACTIVITY: Of r Release 2000/09/03/h	fice of Secu	31000 7000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	ent charge	ed with liaison
	25X1A i	CTATCTED MIF	n the secur lements of	ity and	
A C/7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			2.0		
ACTIVITY CODE:	I, A, (a), 20	COMPON	ENT: OS/PSI,	/Clearance	<u>Division</u>
EVALUATION:	. •	٠.		DATE:	SGOB
	•			٠.	
What is (are) o	ur reference(s)	for this ac	tivity? Da	te(s)?	
Is (are) the re Who is (are) th	ference(s) stil e beneficiary(i	l in force? es) of this :	Yes_activity?	No	- (')
Do you feel the Do(es) the beneran the activity If so, how?	[lClary(les) tea	el it ic cti	11 nacaccar	72 Vac 3	No No
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where a	component or to	for the act another Off	ivity shou	ld be tra	nsferred
Can another comp More properly?	onent do the ac YesNo	tivity more If so, w	efficiently	y? Less onent and t	costly? why?
				*	-
Does the activit Watergate? Ye	y include anyth sNo	ing question If so, what?	able in the	e light of	Ē
Can this activit significant ef If so, how?	y be reduced in fect on overall	scope/size Agency secu	or disconti rity? Yes_	nued with	
Is there any act important than	ivity not now be this one? Yes_	eing pursued	by OS that If so, wha	you feel t?	is more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Office of Security component charged Approved For Release 2000/09/03i+GIA+ROP\$3B90823R000700920034-2conducting 25X1A liaison activities with the security elements of

non-USIB agencies.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 21	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
*	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still no (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient	t is still necessary? Yes // No
If so, how?	renerry of fess costry. TesNo
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to and If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Conducts expedite reviews of investigative Approved For Release 2000/09/03:1614-RPP83B00823R000780020934-2;es.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 22	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes No No This activity?
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	is still necessary? Yes. No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? YesNo
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Ager If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without acy security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Conducts liaison with White House security Approved For Release 2000/09/03f:fGLA RDP83B00823B000700020034-2 House security in connection with courier and staff access list for White House and Executive Office.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 23	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Di	vision/
EVALUATION:	DATE:	SEOB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No	
		-
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes	No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transfeother Office? YesNo	erred
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No	ity more efficiently? Less cost If so, which component and why?	1y?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?	
		· ·
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No	
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is No If so, what?	more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Represent the Office of Security on the Approved For Release 2000/09/A3ppGIA-RPP83R909823R9097299020034-2

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 24	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	orce? YesNo this activity?
	*
Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici If so, how?	is still necessary? Ves No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything question watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agend If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without by security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being prince important than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY. Represent the Office of Security on the Approved For Release 2000/09/03 e FIA-RDP83R00823R000700020034e2nel.

	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/
ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 25	SEOB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes No
*	
Do you feel the activity is still a Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient of the so, how?	r is still necessary: les 🗸 . No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo
Can another component do the active More properly? YesNo	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 EdiA RDP83E00823R0007000200342t responsible for managing the Agency Drug Seminar Program for employees and dependents being assigned overseas. ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 26 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/Clearance Division/ EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes Nov If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No__/ If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____

important than this one? Yes___ No__ If so, what?

Watergate? Yes____ No__ If so, what?

If so, how?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 CIA RDP83B00623R00076002063421 responsible Division Report on Drug Usage Cases."

ACTIVITY CODE: I, A, (a), 27	COMPONENT: OS/	PST/Clearance	Divicio
EVALUATION:	O. C.	DATE:	SGOB
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity?	Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes_ of this activity	? No	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic If so, how?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No Yes / Yes	NoNo
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity sh ther Office? Ye	nould be trans	Sterred
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	=	
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No	y more efficien If so, which com	tly? Less co ponent and wh	ostly? ay?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No If so	uestionable in , what?	the light of	
		-	
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discorcy security? Yo	ntinued witho	<u></u>
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS the No If so, w	nat you feel : what?	is more

	OUTING AN	D RECOR	RD SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional) 25X1A			
FROM: Policy and Plans Group		EXTENSION × X5311	NO. DATE
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE FORWARDE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who to whom. Draw a line ocrass column after each comment
Chief; Clearance Division	144	Hu	Under Objective B57104 the
,2 -			Office of Security will re- view and validate all Office of Security functions;
C/STOB 2			activities to ensure effect tiveness, economy and effici The attached documents re-
4			present two milestones under that objective. Milestone 1 the components identified
51:			their functions and activiti Milestone 2 was establishmen of a format for evaluating
6.			these activities. It is re quested that the Branch Offi review the attachment and:
			a) Certify that there are no deletions/connections/ additions to be made to t
8:			previous listing submitte by your office b) Review the Identification
9.			of Activity statement on each evaluation sheet to ensure it fully equales to
0			the comparable activity from your offices listing c) Use the attached format
			to evaluate each individu activity:
2			1402-16/6-21
3			1402- Jl. Bot M. Out Llate Clacur. Cant
4.			